Table No.	LIST OF TABLES	P	age No.
1.1-1	Designated Use Matrix		1.1-1
2.1-1	Virginia Water Resource Atlas		2.1-2
2.1-2	Virginia Statewide Land Use Summary	2.1-3	
2.3-1	Summary of Revolving Loan Fund Status	2.3-1	
2.3-2	Summary of Water Quality Management Grants	2.3-2	
2.6-1-1-A 2.6-1-1-B	Potomac and Shenandoah River Basin Potomac and Shenandoah River Basin Summary (Percent Method) Summary (Binomial Method)		2.6-2 2.6-6
2.6-1-2 -A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories		2.6-4
2.6-1-2 -B	in the Potomac and Shenandoah River Basin (Percent Method) Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the Potomac and Shenandoah River Basin (Binomial Method)		2.6-7
2.6-1-3-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories	2.6-5	
2.6-1-3-A	in the Potomac and Shenandoah River Basin (Percent Method) Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Potomac and Shenandoah River Basin (Binomial Method)	2.6-8	
2.6-2-1-A 2.6-2-1-B	James River Basin Summary (Percent Method) James River Basin Summary (Binomial Method)	2.6-14	2.6-11
2.6-2-2 -A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the James River Basin (Percent Method)		2.6-12
2.6-2-2 -B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the James River Basin (Binomial Method)		2.6-15
2.6-2-3 -A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories	2.6-13	
2.6-2-3 -B	in the James River Basin (Percent Method) Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the James River Basin (Binomial Method)	2.6-16	
2.6-3-1-A 2.6-3-1-B	Rappahannock River Basin Summary (Percent Method) Rappahannock River Basin Summary (Binomial Method)	2.6-18 2.6-21	
2.6-3-2-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories		2.6-19
2.6-3-2-B	in the Rappahannock River Basin (Percent Method) Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the Rappahannock River Basin (Binomial Method)		2.6-22
2.6-3-3-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories	2.6-20	
2.6-3-3-B	in the Rappahannock River Basin (Percent Method) Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Rappahannock River Basin (Binomial Method)	2.6-23	
2.6-4-1-A 2.6-4-1-B	Roanoke River Basin Summary (Percent Method) Roanoke River Basin Summary (Binomial Method)	2.6-25	2.6-28
2.6-4-2-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the Roanoke River Basin (Percent Method)		2.6-26

August 2000

Table No.	LIST OF TABLES	Pa	age No.
2.6-4-2-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the Roanoke River Basin (Binomial Method)		2.6-29
2.6-4-3-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Roanoke River Basin (Percent Method)	2.6-27	
2.6-4-3-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Roanoke River Basin (Binomial Method)	2.6-30	
2.6-5-1-A 2.6-5-1-B	Chowan/Dismal Swamp River Basin Summary (Percent Method) Chowan/Dismal Swamp River Basin Summary (Binomial Method)	2.6-32 2.6-35	
2.6-5-20-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories		2.6-33
2.6-5-20-B	in the Chowan River Basin (Percent Method) Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the Chowan River Basin (Binomial Method)		2.6-36
2.6-5-3-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Chowan River Basin (Percent Method)	2.6-34	
2.6-5-3-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Chowan River Basin (Binomial Method)	2.6-37	
2.6-6-1-A	Tennessee River Basin Summary (Percent Method)		2.6-39
2.6-6-1-B	Tennessee River Basin Summary (Binomial Method)		2.6-42
2.6-6-2-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the Tennessee River Basin (Percent Method)		2.6-40
2.6-6-2-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the Tennessee River Basin (Binomial Method)		2.6-43
2.6-6-3-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Tennessee River Basin (Percent Method)	2.6-41	
2.6-6-3-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Tennessee River Basin (Binomial Method)	2.6-44	
2.6-7-1-A 2.6-7-1-B	Chesapeake Bay and Small Coastal Basin Summary (Percent Method) Chesapeake Bay and Small Coastal Basin Summary (Binomial Method)	2.6-49	2.6-46
2.6-7-2-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories		2.6-47
2.6-7-2-B	in the Chesapeake Bay/Small Coastal Basin (Percent Method) Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the Chesapeake Bay/Small Coastal Basin (Binomial Method)		2.6-50
2.6-7-3-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Chesapeake Bay/Small Coastal Basin (Percent Method)	2.6-48	
2.6-7-3-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the Chesapeake Bay/Small Coastal Basin (Binomial Method)	2.6-51	
2.6-8-1-A	York River Basin Summary (Percent Method)		2.6-53
2.6-8-1-B	York River Basin Summary (Binomial Method)		2.6-56
2.6-8-2-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the York River Basin (Percent Method)		2.6-54
2.6-8-2-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the York River Basin (Binomial Method)		2.6-57
A	`		

August 2000 vi

Table No.	LIST OF TABLES	P	age No.
2.6-8-3-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the York River Basin (Percent Method)	2.6-55	
2.6-8-3-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the York River Basin (Binomial Method)	2.6-58	
2.6-9-1-A 2.6-9-1-B	New River Basin Summary (Percent Method) New River Basin Summary (Binomial Method)		2.6-60 2.6-63
2.6-9-2-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the New River Basin (Percent Method)		2.6-61
2.6-9-2-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in the New River Basin (Binomial Method)		2.6-64
2.6-9-3-A	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the New River Basin (Percent Method)	2.6-62	
2.6-9-3-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in the New River Basin (Binomial Method)	2.6-65	
3.1-1	Ambient Monitoring Program Sample Type and Frequency List	3.1-2	
3.2-1	Designated Use Matrix		3.2-2
3.2-2	EPA Fixed Rate Assessment Parameters		3.2-4
3.2-3	EPA Percent Method Designated Use Assessment Criteria		3.2-9
3.2-4	Assessment of Exceedences for a Monitoring Station		3.2-11
3.2-5	Decisions and Errors made in Hypothesis Testing	3.2-12	
3.2-6	Under Regulation Error for Different Violation Rates in a Data Set of	8 3.2-12	
3.2-7	Binomial Distribution Assessment Chart	3.2-13	
3.2-8	2000 305(b) Assessment Summary Using the Binomial Method	3.2-16	
3.2-9	Binomial Method Designated Use Assessment Criteria	3.2-21	
3.3-1	Virginia Water Quality Standards DO, pH, Maximum Temperature	3.3-1	
3.3-2-A Summa 3.3-2-B	ary of Assessed Waters (Percent Method) 3 Summary of Assessed Waters (Binomial Method)	.3-3	3.3-8
3.3-3-A Statew 3.3-3-B	ide Waterbody Individual Use Support Summary (Percent Method) 3 Statewide Waterbody Individual Use Support Summary (Binomial Me		
3.3-4-A Size of	Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories	3.3-5	
3.3-4-B	in Virginia (Percent Method) Size of Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories in Virginia (Binomial Method)		3.3-10
3.3-5-A Size of	• ,	.3-6	

lable No.	LIST OF TABLES	Pi	<u>age No.</u>
3.3-5-B	Size of Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories in Virginia (Binomial Method)	3.3-11	
3.4-1	Data Collected by Watershed Using Questionnaire		3.4-1
3.4-2	Land Use Loading Factors		3.4-3
3.4-3	Animal Waste Loading Factors		3.4-4
3.4-4	Erosion Rates for Forest Lands		3.4-7
3.4-5	Global Rarity Ranking		3.4-9
3.4-6	Hydrolic Unit Scoring		3.4-9
3.4-7	Statewide Nonpoint Source Pollution Potential Priorities and National Heritage Rankings	3.4-10	
3.5-1	1985/96 Point Source Nutrient Loads with % Change from 1985 Baseline		3.5-4
3.5-2	Eighteen Recommended Actions of Elizabeth River Action Watershed Plan	3.5-8	
3.5-3	1995 Chesapeake Bay Ambient Toxicity Results	3.5-9	
4.1-1	1997 Public Water Supply Systems and Population Served		4.1-1
4.1-2	Major sources of Ground Water Contamination	4.1-5	
4.1-3	Summary of State Ground Water Protection Programs		4.1-6
4.1-4	Ground Water Contamination Summary	4.1-7	